Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/645,257	SU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NITTAYA JUNTIMA	2462	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>NITTAYA JUNTIMA</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Raffi Gostanian</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 28 September 2010	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 112 2 nd paragraph rejection			
Claims discussed: 6,17,22			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agreed to cancel claim 6 for failing to further the limitations of claim 1 and also to amend claims 17 and 22 to clarify that the generating of report is performed by a monitor resource abstract libray, not by a monitor resource controller and that the data is collected at observation points of a HFE, not a receiver to avoid 112 2 nd paragraph rejection.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/Nittaya Juntima/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2462 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)